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Online Firestorms and hate propagation in social media:

- What is it?
- How does it work?
- What can we do?
Massive Negative Online Dynamics

- Qu'ran burning
- Joseph Kony (Invisible Children)
- Politics: ‘Weinergate’ (Petri, 2011)
- Popular culture (Barker, 2011).
Example: McDonalds

Meet some of the hard-working people dedicated to providing McDs with quality food every day
#McDStories mcd.to/zEckNn

One time I walked into McDonalds and I could smell Type 2 diabetes floating in the air and I threw up. #McDStories

My brother finding a fake finger nail in his fries.
#McDStories
Unsettledness in Politics and Business

“Let’s better not say anything. Otherwise, we’ll have an online firestorm tomorrow!”
Definition

“A Virtual Shitstorm is an Online phenomenon that describes the sudden discharge of large quantities of negative word of mouth and complaint behavior against a person, company, or group in social media networks, often paired with intense indignation that has shifted its focus from an actual point of criticism.”

Online Firestorm = Shitstorm
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Sincerely,
Empirical Observations/Factors

**Constant flow of information, short information half-life**
- Real-time messages in social media create a constant flow of communication
- Many people can be reached within a short period of time
- Result: Temporal dominance of a single topic
- Communication/reaction cycle
  - Traditional newspaper: 1 day
  - Social media: hours or minutes
- Half-Life (Burton and Kebler, 1960) of social media information:
  - Hours or even minutes (Fang, 2007).
- Twitter: Critical role in the propagation of all online firestorms

→ Speed and volume
Empirical Observations/Factors

No gradualist opinions, absence of discursive interactions

- Opinion-forming systems without sophisticated or gradualist opinions
- Re-tweet, ‘like’, or ‘+1’
- Decision-making process as *binary choices* (Schelling, 1973)
- ”either-or situations”

→ *Binary choices*
Empirical Observations/Factors

Friends act as information source and as filter

• Connections tend to be based on homophily (McPherson et al., 2001)
  – similar in age, gender, and socio-economic status
• Plus: Technology filters information based on interests
• Filter bubble (Pariser, 2011) is a concept which refers to over-emphasizing the importance of single topics or opinions

→ Lack of diversity
Empirical Observations/Factors

**Amplified epidemic spreading, network clusters**

- Interpersonal communication networks have significant local clustering (Pfeffer and Carley, 2011)
- Transitive link creations (Heider, 1946)

- Social media systems intensify this effect with link suggestions
Empirical Observations/Factors

Amplified epidemic spreading, network clusters

- Average Facebook user Ann: 130 friends
- Ben posts a very interesting piece of information
- Ben’s friends like what Ben says (Homophily)
- Ben’s friends are also friends with Ann (Transitivity)
- Ann receive a large amount of posts to one topic
- Amplifying effects of opinion-forming: echo chambers (Key, 1966)

→ Network clusters & echo chambers
Empirical Observations/Factors

Hundreds of “friends” create many information

- Offline: Hierarchical groups of alters (Zhou et al., 2005)
- Strength of ties
  - amount of time, the emotional intensity, the intimacy, and the reciprocal service
- In social media, every connection gets the same amount of attention

→ Massive unrestrained information flow
Empirical Observations/Factors

**Offline and online media reinforce one another**

- Social media are an important information source for traditional media (Diakopoulos et al., 2012).
- Twitter is used as “radar”
- Social media hooks are connected to the media story

- Significant amount of dynamics are “external events and factors outside the network” (Myers et al., 2012)

→ *Cross media dynamics*
Empirical Observations/Factors

Modified opinion adoption process, dominant network effects

- Diffusion and adoption process (Rogers, 1995; Pfeffer et al., 2013):
  - **Knowledge**  
    - The agent gets in contact with a new opinion or belief.
  - **Persuasion**  
    - The agent starts to have a positive or negative. Re-infection.
  - **Decision**  
    - The opinion or belief is accepted or rejected.
  - **Propagation**  
    - The agent starts to actively propagate the opinion or belief. Infection.
  - **Affirmation**  
    - Positive feedback encourages, negative feedback destabilizes.

→ Cognitive processes get replaced by network effects!
Dynamics of Online Firestorms: Factors

- Speed and volume of communication
- Binary choices
- Lack of diversity
- Network clusters
- Unrestrained information flow
- Cross-media dynamics
- Network-triggered decision processes
What to do?

1. Stay calm
   - No overreaction
   - But also: no ignoring
   - Stories have short lives
   - Don’t overestimate the moment

2. Increase diversity
   - Individual: Connect to very different people
   - Organizations: Build diverse communities
What to do?

3. Don’t forget traditional media
   • Specific journalists are key for social media dynamics

4. Tackle network effects
   • ‘Burst’ a person’s filter bubble by being connected
   • Be the early and the trusted sources of information (Fishbein and Ajzen, 1975; Ajzen and Fishbein, 1980)
   • Destabilize opinion forming processes
   • You need intermediaries: Create fan networks!
“Our mission is to go forward, and it has only just begun. There's still much to do, still so much to learn. Engage!”
Jean-Luc Picard, TNG Season 1 Ep. 26
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